

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                                | Description of CR   | CLEC Ratings  | Status/Comments   |
|------|---|---|---|---|
| 1    | 2711<br><br>C02-3217<br><br>378615<br><br>E | <p><b>Title:</b> Allow coordinated conversion for Pair Changes during Loop provisioning-</p> <p><b>Description:</b> Currently when a hot cut is scheduled and a NDT condition on one or more lines cannot be resolved, the CLEC is required to send a supp, changing the affected pair (s). The only option to the standard 5-day interval for this type of change is a cumbersome escalation process to the NMC and RCCC to get management personnel to push the request through. We would like an automated process that will update CFA information quickly without management intervention. The real intent of this initiative is to move the provisioning interval for a supp to change the CFA from 5 days to 1 day.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Order; <b>Jurisdiction:</b> North, South; <b>System:</b> Other; <b>Primary Area:</b> UNE-Hot Cut; <b>LSOG Version :</b> 5 and above</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Loriann Burke, XO 10/18/02</p> | <p>Overall = 5.0</p> <p>Allegiance = 5<br/>AT&amp;T = 5<br/>Broadview = 5<br/>Cavalier = 5<br/>Choice One= 5<br/>Conversent=5<br/>Covad = 5<br/>Cox = 5<br/>CTSI= 5<br/>Met Tel = 4.5<br/>MetroTelconnct =P<br/>OneCom=5<br/>TalkAmerica=P<br/>MCI= 5</p> | <p><b>STATUS: <u>Not Scheduled</u></b></p> <p><b>06/19/2014: Email sent to Initiator. Waiting on response to close or leave open.</b></p> <p><b>06/10/2014: An automated CFA update process is not currently available as the frame must do manual work to activate the new pair and remove the old once given the go ahead. A manual proactive process is in place at DD-2 in the East when the pre-wire is done by the frame. If a supplement is required it can be escalated for a shorter date.</b></p> <p>10/10/07 Industry review completed. Request designated as feasible. Confirmed this is East only as West has “Coordinated Conversion” process.<br/>Title updated to more closely reflect work requested. Initiator/company updated.<br/>9/14/04 Not Scheduled.<br/>5/4/04 Updated Title to reflect revised intent – topic resubmitted for evaluation<br/>4/13/04 Not scheduled<br/>2/10/04 Allegiance requested issue revisited<br/>11/12/03 Updated status<br/>10/7/03 Updated Description.<br/>11/12/02 – Rated by CLECs; LOE = Low<br/>11/5/02 – New to list</p> |

June 14, 2016

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                      | Description of CR  | CLEC Ratings  | <u>Status/Comments</u>  |
|------|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|
| 2    | C05-2272<br><br>395724<br><br>E/W | <p><b>Title:</b> Allow for quicker identification of cancelled orders</p> <p><b>Description:</b> Make the LSC response for a SUP 1 to cancel clearly show that the order has been cancelled.</p> <p><b>Initiator/Date:</b> Laurie Fredricksen, Integra, 09/29/05</p> | <p>Overall = 5.0</p> <p>AT&amp;T = P</p> <p>Covad = 5</p> <p>Eschelon = 5</p> <p>HTC = 5</p> <p>Integra = 5</p> <p>MCI = 5</p> <p>SBC = 5</p> <p>Sprint = 5</p> <p>Telcove = 5</p> <p>Time W = 5</p> <p>Trinsic = 5</p> <p>XO = 5</p> <p>Logics = P</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>10/24/07 – Advised East has same issue. Change region to E/W.</p> <p>10/10/07 – Industry review completed. Request designated as feasible. Some additional investigation will be pursued to determine if this will remain West only. It was confirmed to be <b>GUI</b> only.</p> <p>10/18/05 – New to List. Rated by CLECs</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 2 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                    | Description of CR   | CLEC Ratings   | <u>Status/Comments</u>  |
|------|---------------------------------|---|--|---|
| 3    | C05-2622<br><br>396339<br><br>W | <p><b>Title:</b> Enhance the WISE Interface to pull the pre-order data into the LSR</p> <p><b>Description:</b> Enhance the WISE interface to allow Pre-Order Address Validations, Reserved TNs, and Appointment Reservations RESIDs to be auto-populated into an LSR.</p> <p>Expand Pre Order to Order integration to include use of order templates. Primary issue is pulling over valid address from Pre Order while using custom templates.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Pre-Order &amp; Order   <b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ West</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> WISE</p> <p><b>Initiator/Date:</b> Kim Isaacs, Eschelon, 11/22/05</p> | <p>Overall = 5.0</p> <p>AT&amp;T = P<br/>Comcast = P<br/>Covad = P<br/>Eschelon = 5<br/>HTC = 5<br/>Integra = 5<br/>Priority One = 5<br/>Sprint = P<br/>Telcove = 5<br/>Time W = P<br/>Trinsic = P<br/>VarTec = 5<br/>XO = 5</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p><b>07/08/2014: Waiting on response from initiator.</b></p> <p><b>06/10/2014: We already allow the creation of LSRs using an existing Preorder in WISE. Laurie Roberson to check and respond.</b></p> <p>10/24/07 – Industry review completed. Request designated as feasible. Verizon notes that very rough level of effort deemed this a large effort.</p> <p>01/17/06 – New to List. Rated by CLECs.</p> |

June 14, 2016

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type        | Description of CR  | CLEC Ratings   | <u>Status/Comments</u>   |
|------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| 4    | C06-0019<br><br>E/W | <p><b>Title:</b> Expand Pre-Order View of cable pair availability</p> <p><b>Description:</b> Expand Pre-Order (WISE, EDI, CORBA) View Co-Location Facility query and response to allow the user to query a range of slots.</p> <p><b>Initiator/Date:</b> Kim Isaacs, Eschelon, 11/22/05</p> <p><b>11/7/07</b> – This request is specific to DS0 requests and should include ability to query up to a range of 100.</p> | <p>Overall = 5.0</p> <p>AT&amp;T = P<br/>Covad = P<br/>Eschelon = 5<br/>HTC = 5<br/>Integra = 5<br/>Priority One = 5<br/>Sprint = P<br/>Telcove = 5<br/>Time W = P<br/>Trinsic = P</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>11/7/07 Industry review completed and request designated as feasible. Region changed to reflect E/W. Notes added to clarify request.</p> <p>01/17/06 – New to List. Rated by CLECs.</p> |

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type      | Description of CR   | CLEC Ratings  | Status/Comments  |
|------|-------------------|---|---|--|
| 5    | C06-0862<br><br>W | <p>Title: Drop project requirement for Return to Native port in orders.</p> <p>Description: Eschelon proposes that Verizon drop the Return to Native project requirement for port in to Resale and UNE-P requests.</p> <p>Process: Ordering Jurisdiction: West Transaction: LSR</p> <p>Systems: Wise, EDI</p> <p>Primary Area: Resale, UNE P,</p> <p>Documentation: Order Business Rules</p> <p>LSOG Version: 6 and &gt;</p> <p>Initiator: Kim Issacs, Eschelon 4/12/2006</p> | <p>Overall = 5</p> <p>AT&amp;T = 5</p> <p>AT&amp;T (L) = P</p> <p>ATX = 5</p> <p>Broadview = P</p> <p>Choice One= 5</p> <p>Comcast = 5</p> <p>Covad = P</p> <p>Cox = P</p> <p>CTC = 5</p> <p>CTSI= P</p> <p>Eschelon = 5</p> <p>Electric Light = P</p> <p>HTC = P</p> <p>MetTel = 5</p> <p>Mountain = P</p> <p>Penn</p> <p>Telecom=5</p> <p>Sprint = 5</p> <p>Synchronoss = P</p> <p>Telcove = 5</p> <p>Telscape = 5</p> <p>Time Warner = 5</p> <p>Trinsic =</p> <p>Unity Com = 5</p> <p>XO = P</p> | <p><b>STATUS: Feasible</b></p> <p><b>07/08/2014: Waiting on response from initiator.</b></p> <p><b>06/10/2014: For a 'port in' there is no need for a PROJECT. Laurie Roberson to check and respond.</b></p> <p>11/13/07 Industry review completed and request designated as feasible. Customer advised due date window could be up to 30 day due to project requirement.<br/>5/9/06 Ranked.</p> |

June 14, 2016

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type   | Description of CR   | CLEC Ratings  | <u>Status/Comments</u>  |
|------|----------------|---|---|---|
| 6    | 47038<br><br>W | <p><b>Title:</b> Allow for correct and convenient determination if a loop should be ordered as designed or non-designed</p> <p><b>Description:</b> This initiative is requesting that Verizon Create a new field that clearly states if the loop should be ordered as designed or non-designed. Currently the CLEC is required to check various fields on the Loop Qual transaction to make the determination and still may order incorrectly and receive a Jeop on due date.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Pre-Order <b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ West</p> <p><b>Transaction:</b> Loop Qual</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> Wise, EDI, Corba <b>Primary Area:</b> UNE</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b> Pre-Order Business Rules</p> <p><b>LSOG Version:</b> 9</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Laurie Fredrickson, Integra 1/9/2007</p> | <p>Overall = 5</p> <p>AT&amp;T L = 5</p> <p>AT&amp;T C/T = 5</p> <p>ATX = P</p> <p>Broadview =5</p> <p>Covad = 5</p> <p>COS = 5</p> <p>Comcast = 5</p> <p>CTSI = P</p> <p>HTC = 5</p> <p>Integra Telecom = 5</p> <p>Penn Telecom= P</p> <p>Sprint = P</p> <p>Time Warner = P</p> <p>Trinsic = P</p> <p>XO = 5</p> | <p><b>STATUS: Feasible</b></p> <p><b>07/08/2014: Waiting on response from initiator.</b></p> <p><b>06/10/2014: The designed/non-designed determination is an engineering function rather than an ordering function and is not currently available in Pre-Order. Laurie Roberson to check and respond.</b></p> <p>11/13/07 Industry review completed and request designated as feasible.<br/>2/13/07 Ranked.</p> |

June 14, 2016

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type   | Description of CR  | CLEC Ratings  | <u>Status/Comments</u>   |
|------|----------------|--|---|--|
| 7    | 59104<br><br>W | <p><b>Title:</b> T5 Implement Directory Listing Inquiry transaction similar to what is offered in the East states.</p> <p><b>Description:</b> The purpose of this initiative is to implement a Directory Listing Inquiry transaction that permits a search of the Verizon listing databases using a variety of selection criteria. This Pre-Order transaction currently exists in the East. Keep current ability to view non-pub on the CSI.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Pre-Order Jurisdiction: VZ West</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> WISE, EDI &amp; CORBA <b>Primary Area:</b> Directory Listings</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b> Pre-Order Business Rules</p> <p><b>LSOG Version:</b> 9</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Carol Frike, Sprint 10/10/2007</p> | <p>Overall = 5</p> <p>AT&amp;T L = 5<br/>AT&amp;T C/T = 5<br/>Concretio = 5<br/>Covad = P<br/>Cox = 5<br/>DSCI = P<br/>HTC = P<br/>Integra = 5<br/>Nationsline = P<br/>One Comm = P<br/>Paetec = P<br/>Penn Telecom = P<br/>Priority = 5<br/>Sprint = 5<br/>Unity Comm = P<br/>XO = P</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>01/16/08 Added non-pub description per the initiator's request.<br/>11/13/07 Industry review completed.<br/>11/13/07 Ranked</p> |

June 14, 2016

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

|   |                             |   |  |   |
|---|-----------------------------|---|--|---|
| 8 | <b>CR1003850</b><br><br>E/W | <p><b>Title:</b> Replace current EDI and CORBA eBonding interfaces with industry standard UOM-LSR XML Web Services interface.</p> <p><b>Description:</b> This initiative is requesting to Replace current EDI and CORBA eBonding interfaces with industry standard UOM-LSR XML Web Services interface.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Order <b>Transaction:</b> LSR, CSI, SAR, SAR</p> <p><b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ East/West</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> LSI</p> <p><b>Primary Area:</b> UNE, UNE-P, Interconnection, LNP</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b> Order Business Rules</p> <p><b>LSOG Version:</b></p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Bennet Pang Comcast 02/11/11</p> | Overall = 5<br>Synchronoss =p<br>Frontier-ctsi=p<br><br>Paetec=p<br>Customcall=p<br>Neustar=p<br>ATT=5<br>Comcast=5<br>Covad=5<br>Broadview=5<br>Birch=p<br>XO=5<br>Sprint=5 | <p><b><u>STATUS:</u></b><br/> <b>07/08/2014:</b> There are no plans to introduce xml in 2015 IT roadmap.<br/> <b>06/26/2014:</b> Comcast is not agreeable with withdrawing the CR. In fact Comcast would like to push Verizon to implement our request as Verizon will be the last ILEC not supporting xml by the end of year. EDI is old code and xml UOM is already supported by Verizon via ASOG.<br/> <b>06/10/2014:</b> CORBA has been phased out. EDI uses NDM which is being replaced with Managed File Transfer (MFT) Platform Server.</p> <p>Ranked 07/12/11</p> |
|---|-----------------------------|---|--|---|



## PWG Change Request History Type 5

|   |                                  |   |  |   |
|---|----------------------------------|---|--|---|
| 9 | <b>CR1003851</b><br><br><b>E</b> | <p><b>Title:</b> Feature Detail Field</p> <p><b>Description:</b> This initiative is requesting to expand the Feature Detail field to &gt; 200 characters on all of our forms we use to submit PONs in both LSI and EDI.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Order <b>Transaction:</b> LSR</p> <p><b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ East</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> LSI <b>EDI</b></p> <p><b>Primary Area:</b> Resale, UNE-P</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b> Order Business Rules</p> <p><b>LSOG Version:</b></p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Kimberly A. Bellew Broadview 03/07/11</p> | <p>Overall = 5</p> <p>Synchronoss =p</p> <p>Frontier-ctsi=p</p><br><p>Paetec=p</p> <p>Customcall=p</p> <p>Neustar=p</p> <p>ATT=p</p> <p>Comcast=p</p> <p>Covad=p</p> <p>Broadview=5</p> <p>Birch=p</p> <p>XO=p</p> <p>Sprint=p</p> | <p><b>STATUS:</b></p> <p><b>07/08/2014: Waiting on response from initiator.</b></p> <p><b>06/10/2014: The current length of 200 matches the length defined by OBF, so this change would need a Business Rule change as well as changes to the downstream systems which currently do not accommodate additional fields.</b></p> <p>Ranked 07/12/11</p> |
|---|----------------------------------|---|--|---|

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type  | Description of CR   | CLEC Ratings  | Status/Comments   |
|------|---|---|---|---|
| 10   | C03-1209<br>C03-1334<br><br>383920<br>384305<br><br>E/W | <p><b>Title:</b> Single LSR for Voice and Data Migration - Migration #1</p> <p><b>Description:</b> The purpose of this initiative is to allow CLECs to migrate voice and data service including line split and line share on a single LSR without the customer experiencing significant data and or voice downtime. In addition, this request includes a change in voice provider, change in data provider, and change in both voice and data provider on a single LSR.</p> <p><b>From Line Sharing to Line Splitting (UNEP)</b><br/>           Assumptions:<br/>           Existing Arrangement – VZ Voice, VZ or DLEC Data<br/>           New Arrangement – CLEC UNE P Voice with or without change in Data provider<br/>           CLEC &amp; DLEC partnership agreement, pre-positioned 30 business days prior to order activity<br/>           Activity requested on single LSR<br/>           Voice migration ordered by CLEC<br/>           If new DLEC, voice CLEC or DLEC acting as agent submits LSR and notifies the other<br/>           Voice disconnect – Voice CLEC<br/>           Data disconnect – Voice CLEC or DLEC acting as agent of VLEC; account restructure required from Line Splitting to UNEP<br/>           Voice CLEC reports voice trouble<br/>           DLEC reports data trouble</p> <p>Note: Accomplishes C02-3091 &amp; C03-0072 as a subset of this change. VZ East CR: C03-1209</p> <p>Migration Scenario Ranking:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #1 (9/2005)</li> <li>• #1 (12/2003)</li> </ul> <p><b>Reason:</b> System enhancement<br/> <b>Process:</b> Order; <b>Jurisdiction:</b> Verizon <b>System:</b> LSI, WISE, EDI <b>Primary Area:</b> UNE, UNE-P, Resale <b>LSOG Version:</b> All</p> <p><b>Initiator/Date:</b> Mary Halpin AT&amp;T, 07/22/03</p> | East Overall = 4.9<br><br>Allegiance = 5<br>AT&T = 5<br>Broadview = 5<br>Cavalier = 5<br>Choice One= NR<br>Covad = 5<br>Cox = 5<br>CTSI= 5<br>Met Tel = 5<br>NOS=4<br>TalkAmerica= P<br>VarTec = 5<br>MCI= 5<br>Z-Tel = NR<br><br>West Overall = 4.9<br><br>AT&T = 5<br>MCI = 5<br>ChoiceOne = 4.5<br>CTSI = 5<br>Allegiance = 5<br>VarTec = 5<br>Covad = 5 | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>10/24/07 – Industry review completed. Request designated as feasible. Verizon advised that this request has been partially completed. Customers request templates to show how to process and notes added to capture what is supported. Industry agrees to consolidate East and West request into 1.</p> <p><u>Prior East Notes</u><br/>           9/14/04 Not Scheduled.<br/>           6/25/04 Moved from Oct 04 Candidate List<br/>           2/10/04 Candidate October 04<br/>           8/12/03 Rated by CLECs<br/>           8/5/03 New to list.</p> <p><u>Prior West Notes</u><br/>           07/20/04 – Moved to Not Scheduled.<br/>           05/18/04 – Revised Title from Single LSR to Create and to Migrate Voice/Data to Single LSR to Migrate Line Share to Line Split<br/>           03/16/04 – AT&amp;T revised assumptions for Migration Scenario #1.<br/>           02/17/04 – Scheduled as candidate for Oct '04 Release.<br/>           09/15/03 – CR revised by Initiator.<br/>           08/19/03 – New to List. Rated by CLECs.</p> |

June 14, 2016

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type | Description of CR  | CLEC Ratings | <u>Status/Comments</u> |
|------|--------------|--|--------------|------------------------|
|      |              | <p><i>10/24/07 Note – This change request is partially supported. This scenario is supported:<br/>REQTYP = DB/ACT = V migrate to Wholesale Advantage Line Split arrangement with no change to data provider (VZ or DLEC). Line Split partnership must be in place.</i></p> <p><i>This scenario is not supported.<br/>REQTYP = DB/ACT = V migrate to Wholesale Advantage Line Split arrangement with change to data provider (VZ or DLEC).</i></p> <p><i>What remains is to allow the data migration concurrent with the voice migration.</i></p> |              |                        |

June 14, 2016

Page 11 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                    | Description of CR  | CLEC Ratings  | <u>Status/Comments</u>  |
|------|---------------------------------|--|---|---|
| 11   | C05-0437<br><br>393189<br><br>E | <p><b>Title:</b> Create a simple system for requesting "aerial to burial" loop changes.</p> <p><b>Description:</b> ATX would like to have the process for a request to have a customers aerial loop buried work the same way that the Alternate Serving Wire Center process works.</p> <p><b>Reason:</b> We are getting these requests more frequently, but the current process is not well defined. VZ and other CLECs will benefit</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Order <b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ East</p> <p><b>Transaction:</b> LSR <b>Primary Area:</b> UNE</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> LSI, EDI <b>Documentation:</b> Order Business Rules</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Jennifer Kuhns, Broadview 2/24/05</p> | <p>Overall = 4.9</p> <p>ATX = 5<br/>Broadview = 5<br/>Cablevision = P<br/>Choice One= 5<br/>Covad = 5<br/>Cox = 5<br/>CTSI= 5<br/>CTC =5<br/>DSCI =P<br/>MCI = 5<br/>Met Tel = P<br/>Optimum<br/>Global =P<br/>Penn Telecom=<br/>5<br/>Supra = P<br/>Telcove = 5<br/>Time Warner =<br/>P<br/>Trinsic =4</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>11/13/07 Industry review completed and request designated as feasible. Customers note this issue still comes up from time to time. Request to look at not only establishing a process for the initial request for buried wire, but also the maintenance of it.<br/>4/12/05 Ranked.</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 12 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                      | Description of CR   | CLEC Ratings   | Status/Comments   |
|------|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|
| 12   | C05-2428<br><br>396125<br><br>E/W | <p><b>Title:</b> Allow the CFA validation tool to accept the input of T1 &amp; T3 CFAs and CCEAs.</p> <p><b>Description:</b> The purpose of this request is to provide facility validation capability for the “termination for the customer collocation” aka CCEA. Currently Verizon supports validation options for the “port on a mux leased from Verizon” aka CFA via the CFA Validation tool in CSG.</p> <p><b>Initiator/Date:</b> Laurie Fredricksen, Integra, 10/26/05<br/>Mike Clancy, Covad</p> <p>Notes 11/7/07<br/>From an ordering perspective<br/>ASR (DS1/DS3 ordered via ASR in East)<br/>CFA information include “port on a mux” info and this can be validated through CSG<br/>CCEA information includes the customer collocation info and this validation is currently not supported.</p> <p>LSR (DS1/DS3 ordered via LSR in West)<br/>Both “port on Verizon mux” and “customer collocation” info comes in via CFA field. Customer can validate using ASR/CFS for the first but not the later.</p> | <p>West Overall = 4.9</p> <p>AT&amp;T = 5<br/>Covad = 4<br/>Eschelon = 5<br/>HTC = 5<br/>Integra = 5<br/>MCI = 5<br/>MetTel = P<br/>SBC = 5<br/>Time W = P</p> <p>East Overall = 4.7</p> <p>Allegiance = 4.7<br/>AT&amp;T = 5.0<br/>Broadview = 4.5<br/>Cavalier = 4.0<br/>Choice One = 5.0<br/>Covad = 5.0<br/>Cox = 4.0<br/>CTSI = P<br/>Met Tel = 4.5<br/>Penn Telecom = 5.0<br/>TalkAmerica = P<br/>VarTec = P<br/>MCI = 5.0<br/>Z-Tel = P</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>11/7/07 Industry review completed and request designated as feasible. Notes added for clarification. Agreement this West request is duplicate of East request C03-1146. Will combine here and change region to E/W.<br/>8/14/07 status changed to Not Scheduled.<br/>11/15/05 – New to List. Rated by CLECs.<br/>East specific notes carried over from C03-1146.<br/>9/14/04 Pending Requirements.<br/>4/13/04 Not scheduled<br/>9/9/03 Added inclusion of CCEA.<br/>7/8/03 Rated by CLECs<br/>7/1/03 New to list.</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 13 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                | Description of CR  | CLEC Ratings  | <u>Status/Comments</u>   |
|------|-----------------------------|--|---|--|
| 13   | 55246<br><br>W<br><br>21739 | <p><b>Title:</b> Provide access to resold Customer Service Records.</p> <p><b>Description:</b> The purpose of this initiative is to provide access to resold Customer Service Records, so that LSRs can be populated completely and accurately.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Pre-Order <b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ West <b>System:</b> WISE, EDI &amp; CORBA<br/> <b>Primary Area:</b> Resale <b>Documentation:</b> Pre-Order Business Rules</p> <p><b>LSOG Version:</b> 9</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Carol Frike, Sprint 11/27/2007</p> | <p>Overall = 4.9</p> <p>AT&amp;T L = 5<br/> AT&amp;T C/T = 5<br/> Cavalier = 5<br/> Comcast = 5<br/> Concretio = 5<br/> Covad = 5<br/> Cox = 5<br/> DSCI = P<br/> Eschelon = 5<br/> Frontier= P<br/> HTC = 5<br/> Integra = 5<br/> One Comm = 4<br/> Optimum = 5<br/> Paetec = 5<br/> Penn Telecom =<br/> P<br/> Sprint = 5<br/> XO = 5</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Pending Requirements</u></b></p> <p>12/11/07 – Rated by CLECs<br/> 11/27/07 – New to list</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 14 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/ Type            | Description of CR  | CLEC Ratings   | Status/Comments   |
|------|----------------------|--|--|---|
| 14   | 081112106<br><br>E/W | <p><b>Title:</b> T5 Reduce System Downtime for Maintenance Release Weekends</p> <p><b>Description:</b> When a maintenance/release weekend is scheduled we would like Verizon to use the window of 11 p.m - 12 a.m. Friday, 11 p.m - 12 a.m. on Saturday and 11 p.m - 12 a.m. on Sunday versus having the systems down the entire weekend.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Pre-Order, Order <b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ East and West</p> <p><b>System:</b> LSR, CSI, SAR <b>Primary Area:</b> Resale, UNE, UNE-P, Interconnection, LNP</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b></p> <p><b>LSOG Version:</b> 9</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Carol Frike, Sprint 11/12/08</p> | <p>Overall = 4.9</p> <p>AT&amp;T = 5</p> <p>Broadview = 5</p> <p>Cavalier = 5</p> <p>Cleartel = 5</p> <p>Comcast = 5</p> <p>Consolidated = 4</p> <p>Covad = 5</p> <p>Cox = 5</p> <p>Granite = 5</p> <p>HTC = 5</p> <p>Integra = 5</p> <p>Nationsline = 5</p> <p>One Comm = 4</p> <p>Paetec = 5</p> <p>Sprint = 5</p> <p>TW = 5</p> <p>XO = 5</p> | <p><b>STATUS: Feasible</b></p> <p>12/09/08 – Rated by CLECs</p> <p>11/12/08 – New to list</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 15 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                                | Description of CR  | CLEC Ratings  | <u>Status/Comments</u>  |
|------|---|--|---|---|
| 15   | 2304<br><br>C02-1849<br><br>372230<br><br>E | <p><b>Title:</b> Jeopardy for Facility Notice Transactions</p> <p><b>Description:</b> The CLEC community would like to receive via EDI and or the GUI detailed response of the Facility Problem and expected delay time on all orders that are placed in Jeopardy due to Facilities. Our understanding is the WFA comments do not flow through to SOP and therefore do not flow through to EDI or GUI.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Pre-Order, Order <b>Jurisdiction:</b> North, South <b>System:</b> EDI, Web GUI</p> <p><b>Primary Area:</b> UNE; <b>LSOG Version:</b> N/A</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> John Berard &amp; Libba Fennell-Johnson, Covad, 10/23/01</p> | <p>Overall = 4.8</p> <p>AT&amp;T = 4.5<br/>Bridgecom = 5<br/>Broadview= 4.5<br/>Covad = 5<br/>Cox = 5<br/>Met-Tel =<br/>Qwest = 5<br/>SBC = 5<br/>Sprint = 5<br/>MCI= 5<br/>Z-Tel = 4</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>1128/07 Industry review completed and request designated as feasible.<br/>9/14/04 Pending Requirements.<br/>5/13/04 VZ requests discussion in light of Network Modification C03-1966<br/>5/6/03 Verizon does not build facilities to meet UNE demand. Information on future jobs to provide facilities is not readily available. Cost to provide would be prohibited.<br/>11/15/02 – LOE = High<br/>7/2/02 – Verizon reviewing jeopardy process to determine best solution. This request is being taken into consideration in that review.<br/>11/27/01 – Requirements Document review<br/>11/13/01 – Rated by CLECs<br/>11/6/01 – New to list</p> |



## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                    | Description of CR  | CLEC Ratings   | <u>Status/Comments</u>   |
|------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 16   | C04-0284<br><br>387077<br><br>E | <p><b>Title:</b> Enhancement of Automated Jeopardy</p> <p><b>Description:</b> Enhancement to provide automated notification of order jeopardy for two additional conditions. Jep-EO, and Jep-PCO.</p> <p><b>Reason:</b> CLECs will be able to move the orders to correct order status automatically.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Ordering <b>Jurisdiction:</b> North &amp; South ; <b>System:</b> LSI, EDI</p> <p><b>Primary Area:</b> UNE, UNE-P; <b>LSOG Version:</b></p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> John Boshier, COVAD, 2/11/04</p> | <p>Overall = 4.8</p> <p>Allegiance =5<br/>AT&amp;T = 4.5<br/>Broadview<br/>=N/R<br/>Choice One=4.5<br/>Covad = 5<br/>Cox = 5<br/>CTSI = 5<br/>Met Tel =4.5<br/>MCI= 5<br/>Penn<br/>Telecom=4.5<br/>VarTec = 5<br/>Z-Tel =P</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>1128/07 Industry review completed and request designated as feasible.<br/>9/14/04 Pending Requirements.<br/>3/9/2004 All related CR C04-0279, 0288, 0283 and 0281 rated as a group.</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 17 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                     | Description of CR  | CLEC Ratings  | <u>Status/Comments</u>  |
|------|----------------------------------|--|---|---|
| 17   | C06-0517<br><br>10861<br><br>E/W | <p><b>Title:</b> MOVE Service Order process Improvement.</p> <p><b>Description:</b> Processes be changed to associate all T&amp;F orders to each other. That systems be modified to ensure that if a related T order is jeopardized that the F order is automatically placed in a jep status and the work to disconnect the order is stopped. Expected result will reduce, if not eliminate, disconnects in error because of a jeopardized T order. AT&amp;T experiences disconnects in error because of jeopardized T orders. In the event the F order is completed before the T order on the due date and the T order is jepped, Verizon should immediately reinstate the service in an effort to prevent an out of service condition.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Order <b>Jurisdiction:</b> East/West</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> LSI/EDI <b>Primary Area:</b> Resale, UNE P, UNE</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b></p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Mary Halpin, AT&amp;T 3/1/2006</p> | <p>Overall = 4.8</p> <p>AT&amp;T = 5<br/>ATX = 5<br/>Broadview = 5<br/>Comcast = P<br/>Covad = P<br/>Cox = 5<br/>CTSI= 5<br/>Integris = P<br/>Penn Telecom= 5<br/>Qwest = P<br/>RCN = P<br/>REMI = 5<br/>Sprint = 4<br/>Time Warner = P<br/>Trinsic = 5<br/>Vartec = 5<br/>XO = P</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>11/28/07 Industry review completed and request designated as feasible with caveat that the level of effort is extremely large. 8/14/07 Change status to Not Scheduled. 3/14/06 Ranked.</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 18 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                    | Description of CR  | CLEC Ratings   | <u>Status/Comments</u>   |
|------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 18   | C05-0436<br><br>393188<br><br>E | <p><b>Title:</b> Bill circuits by the States they service to the correct state BAN</p> <p><b>Description:</b> In NE, Verizon has no MP in place for directing Loops to their proper state Master BANs and we are experiencing them being billed in multi state jurisdictions.</p> <p><b>Reason:</b> CLEC will benefit by having the correct state circuits billed to the correct state BAN.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Order <b>Jurisdiction:</b> MA, NH, RI</p> <p><b>Transaction:</b> LSR <b>Primary Area:</b> UNE</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> LSI, EDI <b>Documentation:</b> Order Business Rules</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Arthur Barone, Broadview Networks 2/24/05</p> | <p>Overall = 4.7</p> <p>ATX = 3<br/>Broadview = 5<br/>Cablevision = P<br/>Choice One= 5<br/>Covad = P<br/>Cox = 5<br/>CTSI= P<br/>CTC =5<br/>DSCI =P<br/>MCI = 4<br/>Met Tel = 5<br/>Optimum<br/>Global =P<br/>Penn Telecom=<br/>P<br/>Supra = 5<br/>Telcove = 5<br/>Time Warner =<br/>P<br/>Trinsic = 5</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>11/28/07 Industry review completed and request designated as feasible. Customers advised this is currently being looked at as a trouble and work is in progress for this request.<br/>4/12/05 Ranked.</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 19 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                   | Description of CR   | CLEC Ratings   | <u>Status/Comments</u>   |
|------|--------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 19   | C05-1180<br><br>30425<br><br>E | <p><b>Title:</b> Don't allow the disconnect process prior to the connect process on related transactions.</p> <p><b>Description:</b> This initiative is requesting that when related transactions contain a disconnect and a connect, don't allow the disconnect process prior to the connect process.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Ordering <b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ East</p> <p><b>Transaction:</b> LSR</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> LSI, EDI <b>Primary Area:</b> Resale, UNE, UNE P</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Joyce Stewart, ATX 5/2/05</p> | <p>Overall = 4.7</p> <p>AT&amp;T = 5<br/>ATX = 5<br/>Broadview = 5<br/>CableVision =5<br/>Covad = 5<br/>Cox = 5<br/>CTSI= 4<br/>CTC = 5<br/>ITC Delta Com = 5<br/>MCI = 4<br/>Penn Telecom= 5<br/>Sprint = 3<br/>Trinsic =5<br/>XO = 5</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>11/28/07 Industry review completed and request designated as feasible with caveat that the level of effort is extremely large.<br/>8/14/07 Change status to Not Scheduled.<br/>6/14/05 Ranked</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 20 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                    | Description of CR  | CLEC Ratings  | <u>Status/Comments</u>   |
|------|---------------------------------|--|---|--|
| 20   | C05-2422<br><br>396124<br><br>W | <p><b>Title:</b> Ability to return multiple iterations in CSI for the ECCKT and the NDLP</p> <p><b>Description:</b> Improve the CSI (Customer Service Inquiry) pulled by SBN (Summary Bill Number) to include all circuits and complete information on those circuits.</p> <p><b>Initiator/Date:</b> Laurie Fredricksen, Integra, 09/29/05</p> | <p>Overall = 4.7</p> <p>AT&amp;T = 4<br/>Covad = P<br/>Eschelon = 5<br/>HTC = 5<br/>Integra = 5<br/>MCI = 4<br/>MetTel = P<br/>SBC = 5<br/>Time W = P</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>11/28/07 Industry review completed and request designated as feasible.<br/>11/15/05 – New to List. Rated by CLECs</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 21 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type             | Description of CR   | CLEC Ratings  | <u>Status/Comments</u>                                       |
|------|--------------------------|---|---|--|
| 21   | 09092110<br>8<br><br>E/W | <p><b>Title:</b> T5 PAVA NPA/NXX Job Aid</p> <p><b>Description:</b> Add a job aid to the Verizon Partner Solutions website detailing PAVA regions to include all NPA/NXXs associated with the region as well as USOCs and available products/services.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Order   <b>Jurisdiction:</b> PA and VA</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> LSR, CSI, SAR   <b>Primary Area:</b> Resale, UNE-P</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b></p> <p><b>LSOG Version:</b></p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Judi O'Day, Granite 09/21/09</p> | <p>Overall = 4.7</p> <p>AT&amp;T = 5<br/>Broadview = 5<br/>Cavalier = P<br/>Comcast = P<br/>Concretio = 5<br/>Covad = P<br/>Cox = 5<br/>Frontier = 5<br/>Granite = 5<br/>HTC = P<br/>Integra = P<br/>Nationsline = P<br/>One = P<br/>Sprint= 3<br/>XO = P</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>10/13/09 rated.</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 22 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                    | Description of CR  | CLEC Ratings   | Status/Comments  |
|------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 22   | C04-0697<br><br>387797<br><br>E | <p><b>Title:</b> Retain function "Continue for Remaining "and "Values for Remaining" in LSI redesign.</p> <p><b>Description:</b> Retention of the functionality of "Continue for Remaining "and "Values for Remaining" as tools in the new LSI format being rolled out in June. Functionality is currently being removed in June 04 LSI Re-design.</p> <p><b>Business Narrative:</b></p> <p>Reason: Current functionality saves time and produces accurate orders.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Ordering <b>Jurisdiction:</b> North &amp; South ; <b>System:</b> LSI</p> <p><b>Primary Area:</b> Resale, UNE, UNE-P; <b>LSOG Version:</b> 5 and above</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Pam Beattie, DSCI 3/30/2004</p> | <p>Overall = 4.6</p> <p>Allegiance = 4.5</p> <p>AT&amp;T = 4.5</p> <p>Bridgecom = P</p> <p>Broadview = 5</p> <p>Cavalier =</p> <p>Choice One= P</p> <p>Comcast = P</p> <p>Covad = P</p> <p>Cox = 4</p> <p>CTSI=</p> <p>Met Tel = P</p> <p>Penn Telecom= 5</p> <p>TalkAmerica= P</p> <p>VarTec = P</p> <p>MCI= P</p> <p>Z-Tel = P</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>11/28/07 Industry review completed and request designated as feasible.</p> <p>5/10/05 Pending Requirements</p> <p>9/14/04 Pending Scheduling.</p> <p>4/13/04 rated.</p> |

June 14, 2016

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                      | Description of CR  | CLEC Ratings   | <u>Status/Comments</u>   |
|------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 23   | C05-1769<br><br>394972<br><br>E/W | <p><b>Title:</b> Disconnect DSL capability on shared Loop where the voice is being migrated.</p> <p><b>Description:</b> Permit the provisioning of orders using a single LSR to migrate from Lineshare, Linesplit, VISTA or any other commercial equivalent service to Resold Voice, UNE-P, Wholesale Advantage or any equivalent commercial service using the double LOA and provide loss notice both the losing VLEC and DLEC.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Order <b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ East/West</p> <p><b>Transaction:</b> LSR</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> LSI, EDI <b>Primary Area:</b> Resale, UNE P, UNE</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b> Order Business Rules <b>LSOG:</b> 6 &gt;</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Shelly Pascoe, One Communications 07/13/05</p> <p>10/24/07 Note – What needs to be covered with this request is the following scenarios:<br/> REQTYP = DB (East), EB, MB (West), NB, PB, QB<br/> ACT = V<br/> AGAUTH = Z (Dual LOA) – signifying a disconnect of the data.</p> | <p>Overall = 4.6</p> <p>AT&amp;T = 5<br/> ATX = 4<br/> Broadview = 5<br/> CableVision = 5<br/> Comcast = 4<br/> Covad = 5<br/> CTSI = 4<br/> CTC = 4<br/> Eschelon = 5<br/> FL Digital<br/> Nwrk = 5<br/> HTC = 4<br/> Integra = 5<br/> ITC Delta = 4<br/> MCI = 5<br/> MetTel = 5<br/> Penn Telecom = 4<br/> SBC = P<br/> Sprint = 4<br/> Synchroniss = 5<br/> Telcove = 4<br/> Time W = P<br/> Trinsic = 5<br/> US LEC = P<br/> XO = P</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>03/27/08 Changed initiator from Liz Balvin, Covad to Shelly Pascoe, One Communications.<br/> 03/10/08 Withdrawn by initiator Liz Balvin, Covad.<br/> 01/30/08 Changed initiator from Mike Clancy to Liz Balvin.<br/> 10/24/07 Industry review completed.<br/> Request designated as feasible with a moderate to high level of effort.<br/> Customers request notes on what migration requests remain outstanding.<br/> 7/11/06 Pending Scheduling<br/> 6/13/06 Candidate Oct 06.<br/> 02/14/06 Pending Scheduling.<br/> 09/14/05 Rated.<br/> 08/09/05 Deferred.</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 24 of 49



## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                | Description of CR   | CLEC Ratings  | <u>Status/Comments</u>  |
|------|-----------------------------|---|---|---|
| 24   | 54641<br><br>W<br><br>22295 | <p><b>Title:</b> Allow Service Recovery to be requested on repair trouble ticket.</p> <p><b>Description:</b> The purpose of this initiative is to allow CLECs the ability to add a recording/forwarding # for their customers experiencing Repair issues.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Trouble Administration   <b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ West</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> LSI-TA   <b>Primary Area:</b> Wholesale Advantage, Resale</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b> Trouble Administration Business Rules</p> <p><b>LSOG Version:</b> 9</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Laurie Frederickson, Integra 7/5/2007</p> | <p>Overall = 4.6</p> <p>AT&amp;T L= 4<br/>AT&amp;T C/T = 5<br/>Cablevision = P<br/>Comcast = P<br/>Covad = 5<br/>Cox = 4<br/>Eschelon = 5<br/>Frontier = P<br/>Granite = 5<br/>HTC = 5<br/>Integra = 5<br/>Logix = 5<br/>One Comm = 4<br/>Optimal Global = 4.5<br/>Paetec = P<br/>PennTel = P<br/>VCI = P</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>12/11/07 Industry review completed and request designated as feasible.<br/>8/14/07 Ranked.</p> |

**PWG Change Request History  
Type 5**

| Rank | CR#/ Type          | Description of CR   | CLEC Ratings  | Status/Comments  |
|------|--------------------|---|---|--|
| 25   | 080623105<br><br>W | <p><b>Title:</b> T5 West – Only Require LACT=I / LACT=O Not Required.</p> <p><b>Description:</b> Request Verizon West only require an LACT=I page similar to what is required by Verizon East and not require the LACT=O page.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Order <b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ West</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> WISE <b>Primary Area:</b> LNP</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b></p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Carol Frike , Sprint 06/23/08</p> | <p>Overall = 4.6</p> <p>AT&amp;T = 4<br/>Broadview = P<br/>Cablevision = P<br/>Cavalier = P<br/>Cleartel = P<br/>Comcast = 5<br/>Consolidated = P<br/>Covad = P<br/>Cox = P<br/>Frontier = P<br/>HTC = P<br/>Integra = 4<br/>Level 3 = 5<br/>Nationsline =P<br/>Optimum Global = P<br/>Paetec = P<br/>Sprint = 5<br/>Time Warner = 5<br/>XO = P</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Pending Requirements</u></b></p> <p>09/09/08 Rated.<br/>08/12/08 New to list. Deferred.</p> |

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type         | Description of CR  | CLEC Ratings   | <u>Status/Comments</u>  |
|------|----------------------|--|--|---|
| 26   | 090930109<br><br>E/W | <p><b>Title:</b> T5 East/West - Include NPAC Cancels/Conflicts on JEPs to Prevent Port from Automating.</p> <p><b>Description:</b> Request to have VZN update their process to include issuing NPAC cancels / conflicts when sending a jeopardy on an FOC to assist with preventing the Port from automating.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Ordering <b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ East/West</p> <p><b>Transaction:</b> LSR</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> LSI, EDI <b>Primary Area:</b> LNP</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b></p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Carol Frike, Sprint 09/20/09</p> | <p>Overall = 4.6</p> <p>AT&amp;T = 5<br/>Broadview = 5<br/>CloseCall = 5<br/>Comcast = 1<br/>Cox = 5<br/>Frontier = 5<br/>Granite = 5<br/>HTC = 5<br/>Integra = 4<br/>Nationsline = 5<br/>nTelos = 5<br/>Sprint = 5<br/>tw = P<br/>Winn = 5<br/>XO = 5</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>01/12/10 Ranked.</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 27 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                    | Description of CR   | CLEC Ratings   | <u>Status/Comments</u>   |
|------|---------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 27   | C05-2076<br><br>395375<br><br>E | <p><b>Title:</b> Allow creation of trouble tickets for off premises extensions/dual svc locations.</p> <p><b>Description:</b> This initiative will allow the creation of trouble tickets for off premises extensions and dual service locations. Verizon is currently rejecting these requests.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Trouble Admin <b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ East</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> LSI-TA, EB, TAXI <b>Primary Area:</b> Resale, UNE P</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b> TA Business Rules, <b>LSOG</b> N/A</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Janice Ziegele, Broadview 8/29/2005</p> | <p>Overall = 4.5</p> <p>ATX = 5<br/>Broadview = 5<br/>CableVision = 4<br/>Covad = P<br/>Cox = 4<br/>CTSI= P<br/>CTC = 4<br/>MCI = 4<br/>Met Tel = 5<br/>Penn Telecom= 5<br/>Time Warner = P<br/>Trinsic = 4<br/>US LEC = P</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>12/11/07 Industry review completed and request designated as feasible.<br/>9/14/05 Rated.</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 28 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                    | Description of CR  | CLEC Ratings   | <u>Status/Comments</u>   |
|------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 28   | C05-0575<br><br>393481<br><br>E | <p><b>Title:</b> Integrate the validate collocation assignment step process.</p> <p><b>Description:</b> Integrate the validate collocation assignment step process such that "busy pairs" are auto-query back to the CLEC at the time of LSR submitted.</p> <p><b>Reason:</b> Current process requires verification from the APC Assignment Provisioning Center before CLEC is queried back. It may be days before CLEC is notified of busy pair issue. At times this occurs after Due Date.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Order <b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ East</p> <p><b>Transaction:</b> LSR <b>Primary Area:</b> UNE</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> LSI, EDI <b>Documentation:</b> Order Business Rules</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Shelly Pascoe, One Communications 3/10/05</p> | <p>Overall = 4.4</p> <p>AT&amp;T = 3<br/>ATX = 3<br/>Broadview = 4.5<br/>Cavalier = P<br/>Conversant = 5<br/>Covad = 5<br/>Cox = 4<br/>CTC = P<br/>ITC Delta.Com = P<br/>MCI = 5<br/>Met Tel = P<br/>Ntelos =5<br/>Penn Telecom= 4.5<br/>Sprint = P<br/>Time Warner = P<br/>Trinsic =5<br/>US LEC = P<br/>VarTec = P</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>11/7/07 Industry review completed and request designated as feasible. Verizon stipulated it is feasible to add facility assignment check to LSR process but it is not feasible to address database facility records issues with this request.<br/>5/10/05 Ranked<br/>4/12/05 No CLEC Representation</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 29 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                    | Description of CR  | CLEC Ratings   | <u>Status/Comments</u>  |
|------|---------------------------------|--|--|---|
| 29   | C05-1215<br><br>394090<br><br>W | <p><b>Title:</b> The ability to submit bulk or batched PIC change orders.</p> <p><b>Description:</b> Eschelon is requesting that Verizon allow CLECs to submit bulk PIC changes on resold and UNE-P accounts via a system and process similar to that which IXCs use to submit PIC changes. Currently, CLECs are required to submit an LSR for each PIC change. If a Wholesale customer has 1200 PIC changes, they must submit 1200 LSR. Verizon allow IXCs PIC changes to be submitted in batches via a CARE format. Verizon is currently not providing Wholesale customers the same opportunity to process bulk or batched PIC changes in the same manner as IXCs.</p> <p><b>Initiator/Date:</b> Kim Isaacs, Eschelon, 5/10/05</p> | <p>Overall = 4.4</p> <p>AT&amp;T = 5<br/>Blue Casa = 5<br/>Covad = 5<br/>Eschelon = 5<br/>HTC = P<br/>Integra = 4<br/>MCI = 4<br/>Met Tel = P<br/>SBC = 4<br/>Sprint = P<br/>Telcove = 4<br/>Time W = P<br/>Trinsic = 3<br/>XO = 5</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>12/11/07 Industry review completed and request designated as feasible.<br/>06/21/05 – New to List. Rated by CLECs.</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 30 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                    | Description of CR   | CLEC Ratings   | <u>Status/Comments</u>   |
|------|---------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 30   | C05-2077<br><br>395376<br><br>E | <p><b>Title:</b> Add Trouble Ticket Service Recovery Request and Response to the TAXI TML interface.</p> <p><b>Description:</b> This initiative will enhance the TAXI TML interface to provide existing functionality currently available through LSI TA. Currently the CLEC must use a combination of TAXI TML and LSI TA when a customer is completely out of service and is requesting a transfer of calls.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Trouble Admin <b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> TAXI <b>Primary Area:</b> Resale, UNE P</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b> TA Business Rules <b>LSOG:</b> N/A</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Janice Ziegele, Broadview 8/29/2005</p> | <p>Overall = 4.4</p> <p>ATX = 4<br/>Broadview = 5<br/>CableVision = 4<br/>Covad = P<br/>Cox = 4<br/>CTSI= P<br/>CTC = 4<br/>MCI = 5<br/>Met Tel = 5<br/>Penn Telecom= P<br/>Telcove = P<br/>Time Warner = P<br/>Trinsic = P<br/>US LEC = P</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>12/11/07 Industry review completed and request designated as feasible.<br/>9/14/05 Rated.</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 31 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                    | Description of CR  | CLEC Ratings  | <u>Status/Comments</u>  |
|------|---------------------------------|--|---|---|
| 31   | C05-2276<br><br>395726<br><br>W | <p><b>Title:</b> Enhancements to the SCORE search function</p> <p><b>Description:</b> Request for enhancements to the Service Code Online Report (SCORE). Request for an IOSC reverse lookup and a lookup by feature description. CLEC would like the ability to enter the IOSC or feature description into SCORE and have SCORE return the IOSCs, Descriptions, Restrictions and whether the IOSC is available for ordering.</p> <p><b>Initiator/Date:</b> Kim Isaacs, Eschelon, 09/26/05</p> | <p>Overall = 4.4</p> <p>AT&amp;T = P</p> <p>Covad = P</p> <p>Eschelon = 5</p> <p>HTC = P</p> <p>Integra = 5</p> <p>MCI = 4</p> <p>SBC = 5</p> <p>Sprint = P</p> <p>Telcove = 4</p> <p>Time W = P</p> <p>Trinsic = 4</p> <p>XO = 4</p> <p>Logics = P</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>04/08/08 Combined with C05-2203.</p> <p>12/11/07 Industry review completed and request designated as feasible.</p> <p>10/18/05 – New to List. Rated by CLECs</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 32 of 49



## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/ Type          | Description of CR   | CLEC Ratings  | Status/Comments   |
|------|--------------------|---|---|---|
| 32   | 081125107<br><br>E | <p><b>Title:</b> T5 East - Expand TELNO Field to Allow 14 Characters</p> <p><b>Description:</b> Change the TELNO field on the EU tab to enable 14 digit TNs, as opposed to 12.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Order, Repair   <b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ East</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> LSR   <b>Primary Area:</b> Resale, UNE, UNE-P, Interconnection, LNP</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b></p> <p><b>LSOG Version:</b> 9</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Mary Ewing, One Communications 11/25/2008</p> | <p>Overall = 4.4</p> <p>AT&amp;T = P<br/>Covad = P<br/>Eschelon = 5<br/>HTC = P<br/>Integra = 5<br/>MCI = 4<br/>SBC = 5<br/>Sprint = P<br/>Telcove = 4<br/>Time W = P<br/>Trinsic = 4<br/>XO = 4<br/>Logics = P</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p><b>07/08/2014: Waiting on response from initiator. Lisa DelMonache</b></p> <p><b>06/10/2014: EU TELNO allows 14 characters. Updated in 2010.</b></p> <p>12/09/08 – Rate by CLECs<br/>11/25/08 – New to List.</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 33 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type      | Description of CR   | CLEC Ratings   | <u>Status/Comments</u>  |
|------|-------------------|---|--|---|
| 33   | C06-1713<br><br>W | <p><b>Title:</b> Return partial address validations parsed into respective fields</p> <p><b>Description:</b> This initiative is requesting that when the CLEC does an address validation and partial address match is returned we would like the "alternatives" to be parsed into respective fields the way they are for exact match. The existing concatenated method should remain in place; thus giving two ways a partial address match could be returned.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Pre-Order <b>Transaction:</b> Address Validation</p> <p><b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ West</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> Wise/EDI/Corba</p> <p><b>Primary Area:</b> Resale, UNE, Wholesale Advantage</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b> Pre-Order Business Rules</p> <p><b>LSOG Version:</b> 6 &gt;</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Carol Fricke, Sprint 6/7/2006</p> | <p>Overall = 4.2</p> <p>AFN = 4<br/>AT&amp;T C/T = 4<br/>Broadview = 4<br/>Comcast = 4<br/>Covad = 4.5<br/>CTSI= 4<br/>Eschelon = 4<br/>HTC = 5<br/>Integra = 5<br/>Level 3 = 4<br/>One Comm = 4<br/>Penn Telecom= P<br/>RCN = 4<br/>Sprint = 5<br/>Trinsic = 4<br/>Unity Com = 4<br/>US LEC = 4</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>12/11/07 Industry review completed and request designated as feasible.<br/>10/10/06 Ranked</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 34 of 49

**PWG Change Request History  
Type 5**

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                    | Description of CR   | CLEC Ratings   | <u>Status/Comments</u>  |
|------|---------------------------------|---|--|---|
| 34   | C05-1853<br><br>394974<br><br>E | <p><b>Title:</b> Resale voice with DRL to Resale with CLEC data. (change data provider)</p> <p><b>Description:</b> This initiative will allow CLECs to migrate Resale voice with DRL data to Resale voice unchanged voice provider with CLEC data either by the voice CLEC or its partner data CLEC.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Order <b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ</p> <p><b>Transaction:</b> LSR</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> LSI, EDI <b>Primary Area:</b> Resale</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b> Order Business Rules <b>LSOG:</b> 6 &gt;</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Elliot Goldberg, Mettel</p> | <p>Overall = 4.3</p> <p>AT&amp;T = 5<br/>ATX = 4<br/>Broadview = 4<br/>CableVision = P<br/>Comcast = P<br/>Covad = 5<br/>Cox = 4<br/>CTSI = P<br/>CTC = 4<br/>Eschelon = P<br/>FL Digital<br/>Nwrk = P<br/>HTC = P<br/>Integra = P<br/>ITC Delta = 4<br/>MCI = P<br/>MetTel = 5<br/>Penn Telecom = 4<br/>SBC = P<br/>Sprint = P<br/>Synchronist = P<br/>Telcove = 4<br/>Time W = P<br/>Trinsic = 4<br/>US LEC = P<br/>XO = P</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>11/7/07 Industry review completed and requested designated as feasible. Advised that this scenario is not specifically noted in C05-1854. West supports so this is changed to East only.<br/>02/14/06 Pending Scheduling.<br/>09/14/05 Rated.<br/>08/09/05 Deferred.</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 35 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                        | Description of CR   | CLEC Ratings  | Status/Comments   |
|------|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| 35   | C03-0439<br><br>381932<br>2894<br>E | <p><b>Title:</b> POTS Reuse for T1 Service</p> <p><b>Description:</b> The purpose of this initiative is to provide the CLECs with the ability to review end user address specific circuit information that would enable the CLEC to evaluate/propose rearrangements of end user lines/circuits when a no facility condition is encountered for UNE T1 orders. This circuit specific information would include those lines/circuits also provided by other CLECs.</p> <p>Upon CLEC request of T1 service, Verizon should do the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Assign CLEC service order with available qualified facilities.</li> <li>- If no qualified facilities are available, Verizon should review user address telecommunication services to identify potential facilities rearrangement, including identifying the ability to leave switch port active, to satisfy the CLEC service order.</li> <li>- Upon identifying the potential qualified facilities, in regards to the above #2, Verizon should inform the CLEC and await their decision to move forward or cancel the service order.</li> </ul> <p><b>Process:</b> Preorder; <b>Jurisdiction:</b> MA, NY, DC, MD, NJ, PA; <b>System:</b> LSI, EDI;<br/><b>Primary Area:</b> UNE, UNE-P; <b>LSOG Version:</b> 5 and above.</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Loriann Burke, XO 3/17/03</p> | <p>Overall = 4.2<br/>           Allegiance = 5<br/>           AT&amp;T = 3.5<br/>           Broadview = P<br/>           Cat Comm= P<br/>           Cavalier = 4<br/>           Choice One= 5<br/>           Covad = 4<br/>           Cox = 3<br/>           CTSI= 5<br/>           Met Tel = 4.5<br/>           One Comm = 4<br/>           Penn Telecom = 4<br/>           Remi Telecom = 4.5<br/>           TalkAmerica = 4<br/>           VarTec = 3.5<br/>           MCI= P<br/>           Z-Tel = P</p> | <p><b>STATUS: Pending Requirements</b><br/> <b>07/08/2014: Waiting on response from initiator. Loriann Burke</b></p> <p><b>06/10/2014: Verizon does not modify existing or build new facilities to provide unbundled DS1 service.</b></p> <p>01/31/08 Updated initiating company and contact.<br/>           01/29/08 Edits made to change request.<br/>           12/19/07 Industry Review Completed.<br/>           Additional requirements to be submitted.<br/>           Mike Clancy sent in edits.<br/>           9/14/04 Not Scheduled.<br/>           5/4/04 Under Review<br/>           10/7/03 Changed to Not Scheduled<br/>           8/5/03 Candidate February 2004<br/>           4/8/03 New to list/LOE=Low</p> |

Today when a CLEC orders a UNE DS1, if there are no facilities the order is rejected. Verizon is not obligated to build new facilities or modify existing facilities to provide the unbundled DS1 loop.

June 14, 2016

Page 36 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                    | Description of CR  | CLEC Ratings  | <u>Status/Comments</u>  |
|------|---------------------------------|--|---|---|
| 36   | C05-2241<br><br>395630<br><br>W | <p><b>Title:</b> Auto populate fields on the WISE forms.</p> <p><b>9/26/05:</b> Request that the AN populated on the LSR form be auto-populated on all other forms: EU, LS, LSNP, NP, RS, PS.</p> <p><b>5/9/06:</b> Auto populate the Date/Time Sent D/TSent Field on the LSR for all submitted and supplemental Local Service Requests.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Ordering <b>Jurisdiction:</b> West <b>Transaction:</b> LSR</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> Wise, EDI</p> <p><b>Primary Area:</b> Resale, UNE P, UNE</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b> Order Business Rules</p> <p><b>LSOG Version:</b> 6 and &gt;</p> <p><b>Initiator/Date:</b> Kim Isaacs, Eschelon, 09/26/05</p> | <p>Overall = 4.2</p> <p>AT&amp;T = P</p> <p>Covad = 4</p> <p>Eschelon = 5</p> <p>HTC = 5</p> <p>Integra = 5</p> <p>MCI = 4</p> <p>SBC = 3</p> <p>Sprint = 3</p> <p>Telcove = 5</p> <p>Time W = 5</p> <p>Trinsic = 4</p> <p>XO = 3</p> <p>Logics = P</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>12/19/07 Industry review completed and request designated as feasible.</p> <p>10/18/05 – New to List. Rated by CLECs</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 37 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type         | Description of CR   | CLEC Ratings   | <u>Status/Comments</u>  |
|------|----------------------|---|--|---|
| 37   | 080227102<br><br>E/W | <p><b>Title:</b> T5 LSI-TA to allow Multi-Tickets for Vendor Meets</p> <p><b>Description:</b> LSI-TA to allow Multi-Tickets for Vendor Meets.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Repair <b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ West and South</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> LSI, WISE, EDI <b>Primary Area:</b> Resale, UNE, UNE-P</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b> Order Business Rules</p> <p><b>LSOG Version:</b> 9</p> <p><b>Initiator/Date:</b> Eddie Pimentel, Granite 02/27/08</p> | <p>Overall = 4.2</p> <p>AT&amp;T = 5</p> <p>Broadview = 4</p> <p>Cablevision = P</p> <p>Cavalier = P</p> <p>Comcast = P</p> <p>Consolidated = 4</p> <p>Covad = 3</p> <p>DSCI = P</p> <p>Fibernet = 3</p> <p>Freedom Ring = P</p> <p>Frontier = 4</p> <p>Granite = 5</p> <p>HTC = P</p> <p>Integra = 4</p> <p>Line Systems = 5</p> <p>One Comm = 5</p> <p>Optimum</p> <p>Global = P</p> <p>Paetec = P</p> <p>Priority One = 4</p> <p>Sprint = P</p> <p>XO = P</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>04/08/08 – New to List. Rated by CLECs</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 38 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                    | Description of CR   | CLEC Ratings  | <u>Status/Comments</u>  |
|------|---------------------------------|---|---|---|
| 38   | C05-0322<br><br>392921<br><br>W | <p><b>Title:</b> Improved enhanced reporting capabilities in WISE</p> <p><b>Description:</b> Improved enhanced reporting capabilities in WISE: ability to query outstanding rejects, JEPS, LSCS, etc...<i>Provide a list of orders that still need to be handled and are outstanding and have not been worked, same for orders in a jep status.</i></p> <p><b>Sponsor/Date:</b> Terri Mansir, AT&amp;T C/T 02/10/05</p> | <p>Overall = 4.1</p> <p>AT&amp;T = P<br/>MCI = 4<br/>Birch = P<br/>Telcove = 4<br/>SBC = 5<br/>VarTec = P<br/>Covad = 3<br/>Eschelon = 5<br/>ChoiceOne = 4<br/>HTC = 4<br/>Sprint = P<br/>Time W = P<br/>XO = P<br/>Trinsic = 4<br/>Syniverse = P<br/>Integra = 4</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>12/19/07 Industry review completed and request designated as feasible. Initiator changed from Barry Queen, SBC to Terri Mansir, AT&amp;T C/T.<br/>03/15/05 – New to List. Rated by CLECs</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 39 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                    | Description of CR   | CLEC Ratings  | <u>Status/Comments</u>  |
|------|---------------------------------|---|---|---|
| 39   | C05-1860<br><br>394978<br><br>E | <p><b>Title:</b> Allow the CLEC community to manage Assume Dial 9 Centrex services.</p> <p><b>Description:</b> This initiative will allow the CLEC community to manage Assume Dial 9 Centrex services. The owning CLEC requires the capability to deny incoming and outgoing service capabilities.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Order <b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ East</p> <p><b>Transaction:</b> LSR</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> LSI, EDI <b>Primary Area:</b> Resale, UNE P</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b> Order Business Rules <b>LSOG:</b> 6 &gt;</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Janice Ziegele, Broadview 7/26/05</p> | <p>Overall = 4.1</p> <p>AT&amp;T = P<br/>Broadview = 5<br/>Cox = P<br/>CTSI= P<br/>CTC = 4<br/>Met Tel = 5<br/>Penn Telecom= 3<br/>Sprint = P<br/>Telcove =4<br/>Trinsic = 4<br/>US LEC = P</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>12/19/07 Industry review completed and request designated as feasible.<br/>8/09/05 Ranked.</p> |



## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                    | Description of CR   | CLEC Ratings   | <u>Status/Comments</u>  |
|------|---------------------------------|---|--|---|
| 40   | C05-1530<br><br>394476<br><br>W | <p><b>Title:</b> Suppress SAR4 TNS/Lines that are not listed on the LSR.</p> <p><b>Description:</b> The SAR4 detail section should only contain TNS/Lines that are listed on the LSR service specific form, regardless of how the SAR4 was generated; manual or mechanized or what is contained on the CSR.</p> <p><b>Initiator/Date:</b> Gloria Velez, AT&amp;T, 6/14/05</p> | <p>Overall = 4.0</p> <p>AT&amp;T = 5<br/>Covad = P<br/>Eschelon = 4<br/>FDN = P<br/>HTC = P<br/>Integra = P<br/>ITC Delta = P<br/>MCI = 3<br/>Met Tel = P<br/>SBC = P<br/>Sprint = P<br/>Synchronous = P<br/>Telcove = P<br/>Time W = P<br/>Trinsic = P<br/>XO = P</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>01/08/08 Industry review completed.<br/>Verizon designates this request as feasible.<br/>09/20/05 – Rated by CLECs<br/>09/01/05 – Open Discussion Conference Call<br/>08/16/05 - Deferred<br/>07/19/05 – New to List. Deferred</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 41 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type                    | Description of CR  | CLEC Ratings  | <u>Status/Comments</u>   |
|------|---------------------------------|--|---|--|
| 41   | C05-0321<br><br>392920<br><br>W | <p><b>Title:</b> Advance search capabilities of LSRs in WISE database.</p> <p><b>Description:</b> Advanced search capabilities of LSRs in WISE database, i.e., partial pons, end user name, ECCKT, fict 3, cable pair. Provide substring (wild card) search capability.</p> <p><b>Initiator/Date:</b> Terri Mansir, AT&amp;T TX 02/10/05</p> | <p>Overall = 3.9</p> <p>AT&amp;T = P<br/>MCI = 3<br/>Birch = 4<br/>Telcove = 4<br/>SBC = 5<br/>VarTec = P<br/>Covad = 3<br/>Eschelon = 4<br/>ChoiceOne = 4<br/>HTC = 5<br/>Comcast = 3<br/>Sprint = P<br/>Time W = P<br/>XO = P<br/>Trinsic = P<br/>Syniverse = P<br/>Integra = 4</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>01/08/08 Industry review completed. Verizon designates this request as feasible. Initiator changed from Barry Queen, SBC to Terri Mansir, AT&amp;T TX. Wild card search capability added to description.<br/>03/15/05 – New to List. Rated by CLECs</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 42 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type      | Description of CR  | CLEC Ratings  | Status/Comments  |
|------|-------------------|--|---|--|
| 42   | C06-0515<br><br>W | <p><b>Title:</b> Revise the trigger for the Completion Notice</p> <p><b>Description:</b> AT&amp;T is requesting that Verizon provide a Billing Completion Notice, in addition to the Provisioning Completion. There is no other way to determine when the Verizon systems work is done, without the receipt of the two completions. There could also be an impact to repair processes due to the lack of a valid Billing Completion.</p> <p><b>Initiator/Date:</b> Mary Halpin, AT&amp;T, 03/03/06</p> | <p>Overall = 3.9</p> <p>AT&amp;T = 5<br/>Comcast = 4<br/>Covad = 4<br/>Eschelon = 4<br/>HTC = 4<br/>Integra = 4<br/>Lakefield = 3<br/>Priority One = 4<br/>Sprint = 3<br/>Telcove = 4<br/>Time W = 4<br/>Trinsic = 5<br/>XO = 3</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p><b>06/10/2014: Verizon currently provides both a PCN and a BCN. Ok to show Complete per Gayle Garcia-AT&amp;T.</b></p> <p>01/08/08 Industry review completed.<br/>Verizon designates this request as feasible.<br/>03/21/06 – Ranked.</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 43 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type        | Description of CR  | CLEC Ratings  | Status/Comments   |
|------|---------------------|--|---|---|
| 43   | C06-0207<br><br>E/W | <p><b>Title:</b> Expand the PHONE field in the Trouble Administration using TAXI TML services.</p> <p><b>Description:</b> This initiative is requesting to expand the PHONE field to allow the ability to enter a contact reach TN with an extension #.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Trouble Administration <b>Jurisdiction:</b> East/West</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> TAXI <b>Primary Area:</b> Resale, UNE P, UNE</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b> Technical Specifications for TAXI</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Janice Ziegele, Broadview 1/24/2006</p> | <p>Overall = 3.8</p> <p>ATX = 4<br/>Broadview = 5<br/>Choice One = P<br/>Comcast = P<br/>Covad = P<br/>Cox = 4<br/>CTSI = P<br/>Eschelon = P<br/>HTC = P<br/>Integra = P<br/>ITC Delta =<br/>Lakefield = P<br/>Met Tel =<br/>Penn Telcom =<br/>3<br/>Priority One =<br/>P<br/>REMI = 3<br/>RCN = P<br/>Qwest = P<br/>Sprint = P<br/>Telcove = P<br/>Time W = P<br/>Trinsic = P<br/>U4 =<br/>VarTec = P<br/>XO = P</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b><br/><b>07/08/2014: Waiting on response from initiator.</b></p> <p><b>06/10/2014: The field was expanded to 18 characters per the current technical specifications.</b></p> <p>01/08/08 Industry review completed.<br/>Verizon designates this request as feasible.<br/>Melissa Delmonico (Verizon) advised.<br/>3/14/06 Ranked East<br/>3/21/06 Ranked West</p> |

June 14, 2016

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type     | Description of CR   | CLEC Ratings  | <u>Status/Comments</u>   |
|------|------------------|---|---|--|
| 44   | 61111<br><br>E/W | <p><b>Title:</b> T5 Create a flag in Loop Qual LSI and Bulk Extract that indicates the TN product type</p> <p><b>Description:</b> Create a unique flag in Loop Qual LSI and Bulk Extract (available on the CWP website) that indicates the TN is Retail, Resale or Wholesale Advantage. Identifying whether the number is Retail, Resale or Wholesale Advantage will provide CLECs the ability to determine whether intended product(s) can be ordered.</p> <p>NOTE: Verizon systems generate fatal rejects when a class of services are ineligible for migration, thus the means to identify whether a TN is Retail/Resale/Wholesale Advantage is already built.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Pre-Order   <b>Jurisdiction:</b> All VZ</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> LSI, WISE, EDI   <b>Primary Area:</b> UNE-P</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b> Pre-Order Business Rules</p> <p><b>LSOG Version:</b> 9</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Liz Balvin, Covad 11/07/2007</p> | <p>Overall = 3.56</p> <p>AT&amp;T L = 2<br/>AT&amp;T C/T = 5<br/>Broadview = P<br/>Cablevision = P<br/>Cavalier = 3<br/>Cleartel = 2<br/>Closecall = P<br/>Comcast = P<br/>Consolidated = P<br/>Covad = 5<br/>Cox = P<br/>Eschelon = 4<br/>Frontier = P<br/>Granite = 3<br/>HTC = P<br/>Integra Telecom = 4<br/>One Comm = 4<br/>Paetec = P<br/>Sprint = P<br/>XO = P</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Pending Requirements</u></b></p> <p>03/13/08 Added note from initiator.<br/>03/11/08 Rated by CLECs.<br/>01/08/08 Industry review completed.<br/>Verizon designates this request as feasible.<br/>12/12/2006 Ranked</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 45 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type      | Description of CR   | CLEC Ratings   | <u>Status/Comments</u>  |
|------|-------------------|---|--|---|
| 45   | C06-2205<br><br>W | <p><b>Title:</b> Modify the SAR response to return TNs as specified.</p> <p><b>Description:</b> Modify the SAR response to not send TNs in a range when the range is not specified on the resale or port service specific forms. The SAR response should mirror the format used on the forms. For example, if the form was submitted listing individual TNs, the SAR should contain individual TNs. If a range of TNs is submitted, the SAR should contain a range of TNs.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Order   <b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ West</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> WISE/EDI   <b>Primary Area:</b> Wholesale Advantage, Resale</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b> Order Business Rules</p> <p><b>LSOG Version:</b> 9</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Gloria Velez, AT&amp;T 11/9/06</p> | <p>Overall = 3.4</p> <p>AT&amp;T L = 5<br/>AT&amp;T C/T = 3<br/>ATX = P<br/>Broadview = P<br/>Cavalier = P<br/>Comcast = P<br/>CTSI = P<br/>Eschelon = P<br/>HTC = 4<br/>Integra Telecom = P<br/>Penn Telecom = P<br/>Sprint = 4<br/>Time Warner = 2<br/>Trinsic = 2<br/>US LEC = P<br/>XO = 4</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Feasible</u></b></p> <p>01/08/08 Industry review completed.<br/>Verizon designates this request as feasible.<br/>12/12/2006 Ranked</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 46 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type       | Description of CR  | CLEC Ratings  | <u>Status/Comments</u>   |
|------|--------------------|--|---|--|
| 46   | CR10737<br><br>E/W | <p><b>Title:</b> Provide The Ability to View All Trouble Tickets</p> <p><b>Description:</b> We would like the ability to view all of our pending trouble tickets and status of each. This would allow us better trouble ticket management and less contact with Verizon personnel for updates</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Pre-Order, Order   <b>Jurisdiction:</b> VZ East/West</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> WISE/EDI   <b>Primary Area:</b> Resale, UNE, UNE-P</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b></p> <p><b>LSOG Version:</b> 9</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Judi O'Day - Granite</p> | <p>Overall = 3.2</p> <p>AT&amp;T = 1<br/>Ericsson = P<br/>Granitenet = 5<br/>Lumosnet = 2<br/>Neustar = P<br/>RiverRock<br/>Systems = 3<br/>Synchronous = P<br/>Windstream = P<br/>XO = 5</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Pending Requirements</u></b></p> <p>04/09/2013 - Ranked</p> |

June 14, 2016

Page 47 of 49

## PWG Change Request History Type 5

| Rank | CR#/<br>Type      | Description of CR   | CLEC Ratings  | Status/Comments  |
|------|-------------------|---|---|--|
| 47   | CR10740<br><br>PA | <p><b>Title: Update the Blocking Matrix to reflect the availability of the block V in the 814 area code.</b></p> <p><b>Description:</b> Block V in the POTs Blocking Matrix shows as available in PA under area codes 215, 610 and 412. We have an example PON where we used the block in 814 are code also. <b>Could we please have the matrix updated to reflect the availability of the block in the 814 area code?</b></p> <p><b>Process:</b> Order <b>Jurisdiction:</b> PA</p> <p><b>Systems: Primary Area:</b> Resale,UNE,UNE-P</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b> Ordering Business rules</p> <p><b>LSOG Version:</b> LSOG 9</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Judi O'Day - Granite</p> | <p>Overall = 4</p> <p>AT&amp;T – P<br/>Ericsson – P<br/>Granitenet – 5<br/>Lumosnet – 3<br/>Neustar – P<br/>RiverRock<br/>Systems – 3<br/>Synchronous – P<br/>Windstream – 5<br/>XO – P<br/>Accenture - 4</p> | <p><b><u>STATUS: Pending Requirements</u></b></p> <p><b>07/08/2014:</b> Will leave on the change request list at this time.</p> <p><b>06/10/2014:</b> Any update to the blocking matrix will need to be implemented in a CLEC impacting release, since that's the only time VZ can update the Business Rules.</p> <p>04/09/2013 - Ranked</p> |



## PWG Change Request History Type 5

|    |                              |  |   |   |
|----|------------------------------|--|---|---|
| 48 | CR10907<br><br>NY, NJ,<br>MA | <p><b>Title:</b> Stop issuing "cut-thru" automatic completions (PAC) on Business COS POTS installations.</p> <p><b>Description:</b> Put all POTS installations in PLD (pending load) status for dispatch on the FOC date. Our end users will not have to wait for tag and locate dispatch after the cut thru completes.</p> <p><b>Process:</b> Order <b>Jurisdiction:</b> NY, NJ, MA</p> <p><b>Systems:</b> LSR <b>Primary Area:</b> Resale,UNE-P</p> <p><b>Documentation:</b></p> <p><b>LSOG Version:</b> LSOG 9</p> <p><b>Initiator:</b> Kenya Hackle - Kenya Hackle - TRANSBEAM</p> | Overall = 4.75<br><br>ATT - 5<br>Accenture - P<br>Comcast - P<br>EarthLink - 4<br>Granite - 5<br>Megapath - P<br>Neustar -P<br>Peerlessnetwork<br>- p<br>Transbeam - 5<br>Wndstream - p | <p><b><u>STATUS:</u></b> Pending Requirements</p> <p>11/12/2013- RANKED</p> |
|----|------------------------------|--|---|---|

June 14, 2016

Page 49 of 49